Bernoulli Inequality

In this note we explore some variants of the *Bernoulli Inequality* by following this exercise¹.

Problem

Given a > 0 show that $(1 + a)^r > 1 + ar$ for any rational exponent r > 1.

We need to declare what properties of the real numbers we are allowed to use. This exercise is in the beginning of Real Analysis, so we are not allowed to deploy any 'heavy machinery' like derivatives, convex functions etc. We assume the usual properties of \mathbb{R} as an ordered field, but we have not shown yet that *m*-th roots exist for any positive real².

First we prove the inequality for natural numbers n > 1.

Theorem 1.1. Given a > -1 and $a \neq 0$, the inequality $(1 + a)^n > 1 + na$ holds for any integer n > 1.

Proof. We are going to use induction to prove this inequality. For n = 2 we have

$$1 + 2a + a^2 > 1 + 2a$$

which covers the base case. Assume that the inequality holds for *n*. For the induction step we have:

$$(1+a)^{n+1} = (1+a)(1+a)^n > (1+a)(1+na)$$

= 1 + na + a + na²
= 1 + (n+1)a + na² > 1 + (n+1)a

The next theorem might look like it is coming out of nowhere but it is a step in the exercise and there is a connection with the Bernoulli inequality. ¹ Exercise 1.18 on page 10 in N.L. Carothers. *Real Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2000. ISBN 9780521497565. URL https://books. google.com/books?id=4VFDVy1NFiAC

² We are actually going to sketch that out in this note because we need it. We have not defined exponentiation by a rational exponent yet.

Theorem 1.2. The sequence $e_n = (1 + \frac{x}{n})^n$ is increasing for any x > 0.

Proof. We are actually going to use theorem 1.1 to prove this theorem. There are two straightforward ways to prove that a sequence e_n increases. One way is to show that $e_{n+1} - e_n > 0$ and the other way is to show that $\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n} > 1$. The second way requires $e_n > 0$ which is the case here. We are choosing the second way because ratios more closely connect with multiplication and exponents and we hope to find opportunities to simplify the expressions.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n} &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{x}{n+1}\right)^{n+1}}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)^n} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) \left(\frac{\left(1 + \frac{x}{n+1}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right)}\right)^{n+1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) \left(\frac{n+1+x}{n+x}\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{n+1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) \left(\frac{(n+1)n+nx}{(n+x)(n+1)}\right)^{n+1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+x)-x}{(n+x)(n+1)}\right)^{n+1} \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{x}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{x}{(n+x)(n+1)}\right)^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

The last part of this long chain of equalities has a form that suggests theorem 1.1. We have to make sure that $\frac{-x}{(x+n)(n+1)}$ satisfies the conditions of that theorem.

$$\frac{-x}{(x+n)(n+1)} > -1 \Leftrightarrow x < (x+n)(n+1)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow x < nx + x + n^2 + n$$
$$\Leftrightarrow 0 < nx + n^2 + n$$

which x > 0 satisfies, so we can apply theorem 1.1. It follows that

$$\frac{e_{n+1}}{e_n} > (1 + \frac{x}{n})(1 - (n+1)\frac{x}{(n+x)(n+1)})$$

= $(1 + \frac{x}{n})(1 - \frac{x}{n+x})$
= $(1 + \frac{x}{n})(\frac{n}{n+x})$
= 1

Next we need *m*-th roots for any positive real number.

Theorem 1.3. Let $x \ge 0$ be a positive real number and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. Then the set $R := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : y \ge 0, y^n \le x\}$ is not empty and bounded above.

Proof. $0 \in R$, so R is not empty. To find upper bounds for R we will look at two cases: x > 1 and $x \le 1$.

Let us start with x > 1. Then x itself is an upper bound because any y > x would have $y^n > x$.

For $x \le 1$ we find that 1 is an upper bound because if y > 1 then $y^n > 1 \ge x$, which is a contradiction.

Because of completeness we know that sup(R) exists. We will denote $x^{\frac{1}{n}} := sup(R)$. We still have a little work to do. We are only going to prove properties of $x^{\frac{1}{n}}$ necessary for our inequality problem.

Theorem 1.4.

- (*i*) $(x^{\frac{1}{n}})^n = x$
- (*ii*) $x^{\frac{1}{n}} \ge 0$
- (iii) $x_1 > x_2 \Leftrightarrow x_1^{\frac{1}{n}} > x_2^{\frac{1}{n}}$
- (*iv*) $(x^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{1}{m}} = x^{\frac{1}{mn}}$

Proof. For notational simplicity, we define $z := x^{\frac{1}{n}} = sup(R)$.

For (i) we prove by contradiction that $z^n < x$ and $z^n > x$ are impossible.

First assume $z^n < x$. Then $x - z^n > 0$. For any small $0 < \epsilon < 1$ we have:

$$(z+\epsilon)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \epsilon^i z^{n-i}$$
$$= z^n + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} \epsilon^i z^{n-i}$$
$$= z^n + \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} \epsilon^{i-1} z^{n-i}$$

Since $\epsilon < 1$ we can replace all the ϵ^{i-1} in the sum with 1 to get the inequality:

$$(z+\epsilon)^n \le z^n + \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} z^{n-i}$$

We have the identity:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} z^{n-i} = z^{n+1} - z^n$$

so our inequality becomes:

$$(z+\epsilon)^n \le z^n + \epsilon(z^{n+1} - z^n)$$

Now choose ϵ such that

$$\epsilon < \frac{x - z^n}{z^{n+1} - z^n}$$

and we have

$$(z+\epsilon)^n \le z^n + \epsilon(z^{n+1} - z^n)$$

$$< z^n + \left(\frac{x-z^n}{z^{n+1} - z^n}\right)(z^{n+1} - z^n)$$

$$= z^n + x - z^n = x$$

This means that $(z + \epsilon)^n \in R$ but $z + \epsilon > z = sup(R)$, a contradiction. So z^n cannot be smaller than x.

Next assume $z^n > x$. Then $z^n - x > 0$. We proceed similarly to the previous case. For any small $0 < \epsilon < 1$ we have:

$$(z-\epsilon)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i \epsilon^i z^{n-i}$$
$$= z^n + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (-1)^i \epsilon^i z^{n-i}$$
$$= z^n - \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (-1)^{i-1} \epsilon^{i-1} z^{n-i}$$

Since $\epsilon < 1$ we can replace all the ϵ^{i-1} in the sum with 1 to get the inequality:

$$(z - \epsilon)^n \ge z^n - \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} z^{n-i}$$
$$\ge z^n - \epsilon (z^{n+1} - z^n)$$

Again choose ϵ such that

$$\epsilon < \frac{z^n - x}{z^{n+1} - z^n}$$

$$(z-\epsilon)^n \ge z^n - \epsilon(z^{n+1} - z^n)$$

$$> z^n - \left(\frac{z^n - x}{z^{n+1} - z^n}\right)(z^{n+1} - z^n)$$

$$= z^n + x - z^n = x$$

Because $z - \epsilon < z$ there must exist $y \in R$ such that $z - \epsilon < y$. We then have

$$x < (z - \epsilon)^n < y^n \le x$$

which is a contradiction. So z^n cannot be greater than x either. The only possibility left is $z^n = x$.

Both (ii) and (iii) follow from the identity:

$$(a^{n} - b^{n}) = (a - b)(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a^{n-1-i}b^{i})$$

For (iv) we raise both sides to the power of *mn*:

$$((x^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{1}{m}})^{mn} = (((x^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\frac{1}{m}})^{m})^{n}$$
$$= (x^{\frac{1}{n}})^{n}$$
$$= x$$
$$= (x^{\frac{1}{mn}})^{mn}$$

	_

We are almost ready to define exponentiation by a positive rational exponents. We need one more theorem:

Theorem 1.5. Given $p, q, p', q' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that pq' = p'q and with any real number x > 0 we have

$$(x^{\frac{1}{q}})^p = (x^{\frac{1}{q'}})^{p'}$$

Proof. We have pq' = p'q. We define $y = x^{\frac{1}{pq'}} = x^{\frac{1}{p'q}}$.

We know from equality (iv) in theorem 1.4 that

$$y = (x^{\frac{1}{q'}})^{\frac{1}{p}} = (x^{\frac{1}{q}})^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

so

$$y^p = x^{\frac{1}{q'}}$$
, and $y^{p'} = x^{\frac{1}{q}}$

We then have

$$(x^{\frac{1}{q}})^p = (y^{p'})^p = (y^p)^{p'} = (x^{\frac{1}{q'}})^{p'}$$

We can now define exponentiation by $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, r > 0. Let $r = \frac{p}{q}$ and x > 0. Then $x^r := (x^{\frac{1}{q}})^p$ and we know this is well defined.

We are ready to prove the Bernoulli inequality for rational exponents.

The exponent $r = \frac{p}{q}$ is greater than one, so p > q. We know from theorem 1.2 that e_n is increasing, so:

$$(1+\frac{x}{p})^p > (1+\frac{x}{q})^q$$

We choose x = ap and have:

$$(1+a)^p > (1+ar)^q$$

We take the *q*-th root and we know from property (iii) of theorem 1.4 that

$$(1+a)^r > 1 + ar$$

which proves the Bernoulli inequality for rational exponents. We cannot prove it yet for any real exponent without resorting to limits which forces us to lose the inequality strictness. Instead let us close this note with three applications of the Bernoulli inequality³.

Theorem 1.6. *For* 0 < c < 1 *we have* $c^n \to 0$ *.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c^n} &= (\frac{1}{c})^n \\ &> 1 + n(\frac{1}{c} - 1) \\ &> n(\frac{1}{c} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$0 < c^n < \frac{1}{n} \frac{c}{1-c}$$

and c^n is being squeezed into converging to zero.

Theorem 1.7. For c > 0 we have $\sqrt[n]{c} \to 1$.

Proof. We have two cases: $c \ge 1$ and c < 1. Let us first deal with $c \ge 1$:

$$(\sqrt[n]{c})^n > 1 + n(\sqrt[n]{c} - 1)$$
$$c - 1 > n(\sqrt[n]{c} - 1)$$
$$\frac{c - 1}{n} + 1 > \sqrt[n]{c} \ge 1$$

and $\sqrt[n]{c}$ is squeezed into converging to one.

For the case c < 1 we consider its reciprocal $\sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{c}}$ and the result follows from the previous case.

³ Exercises 1.19 and 1.20 on page 10 in N.L. Carothers. *Real Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2000. ISBN 9780521497565. URL https://books. google.com/books?id=4VFDVy1NFiAC

Theorem 1.8. *For* $a_i > 0$, $1 \le i \le n$ *we have*⁴

$$\sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i} \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$$

Proof. We are going to use Bernoulli and induction (as the exercise hint suggests). For n = 2 we have

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{a_1 a_2} &\leq \frac{1}{2} (a_1 + a_2) \\ \Leftrightarrow a_1 a_2 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (a_1^2 + 2a_1 a_2 + a_2^2) \\ \Leftrightarrow 4a_1 a_2 &\leq a_1^2 + 2a_1 a_2 + a_2^2 \\ \Leftrightarrow 0 &\leq (a_1 - a_2)^2 \end{split}$$

This takes care of the base case. For the induction step we assume AGM holds for *n*. We introduce some notation to simplify our expressions: $s_n := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$, $\bar{a}_n := \frac{s_n}{n}$, $p_n := \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$ and finally $\bar{g}_n := \sqrt[n]{p_n}$.

We assume $\bar{g}_n \leq \bar{a}_n$ and have to prove $\bar{g}_{n+1} \leq \bar{a}_{n+1}$. We consider $(\frac{\bar{a}_{n+1}}{\bar{a}_n})^{n+1}$ and have:

$$(\frac{\bar{a}_{n+1}}{\bar{a}_n})^{n+1} = (\frac{n}{n+1}\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n})^{n+1}$$

> 1 + (n + 1)($\frac{n}{n+1}\frac{s_{n+1}}{s_n} - 1$)
= 1 + (n + 1) $\frac{ns_{n+1} - ns_n - s_n}{(n+1)s_n}$
= 1 + $\frac{na_{n+1} - s_n}{s_n}$
= $\frac{na_{n+1}}{s_n}$
= $\frac{a_{n+1}}{\bar{a}_n}$

 \mathbf{so}

$$(\bar{a}_{n+1})^{n+1} > a_{n+1}(\bar{a}_n)^n \ge a_{n+1}p_n = p_{n+1}$$

which concludes the induction step and proves AGM.

⁴ This is known as the AGM inequality, or Arithmetic Geometric Mean inequality.

Bibliography

N.L. Carothers. *Real Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2000. ISBN 9780521497565. URL https://books.google.com/books?id= 4VFDVy1NFiAC.